

# Glib: the Typesafe Event Publishing House

Daniel Libicki

Celequest Corporation

# The Problem

- in an object-oriented event driven architecture, an event consists of one or more objects
- objects are sent from one system to another
- the receiving system may not have a class definition for the object
- if the language uses the object's original class definition from the sending system, how can it guarantee type safety?

# The Arrival Example (in Glib)

```
for sub in $subscriber-machines:  
  outside SouthwestArrival arrival : me.get-last-arrival;  
  $sub.insert<FlightArrival>("arrival", $arrival);  
  $sub.execute(  
    "$subscriber-object.flight-arrived($arrival);"  
  );  
in order;
```

# Where is the Class Defined?

- the event subscriber system has a definition for the base class `Arrival`, but not for the implementation class `SouthwestArrival`
- Glib will send the definition of `SouthwestArrival` over the wire so the objects will behave correctly
- `SouthwestArrival` is dynamically loaded
- by the way, any new expressions invoked by the `SouthwestArrival` class will trigger a dynamic class loading from the remote system

# Type Safety

- Cardelli: type systems catch classes of errors at compile time
- a type safe language guarantees that no program that passes the type checker will execute an illegal operation on a object
- informally, type systems guarantee that "NoSuchMethod" exceptions are never thrown at runtime

//this call should throw a `ClassNotFoundException` exception

```
Class.forName(languageName + ".lang.NoSuchMethodException");
```

# Type Checking

- In order to type check a program, all expressions in the program must be assigned a type
- program fragments are composable if checked against a single set of type definitions
- loading code dynamically composes two code fragments, each of which was checked against its own set of type definitions
- in general, this is not type safe
- can it be done in a type safe fashion?

# The Solution

- a runtime type equivalence check
- both systems must have a definition for the base class – in this case, `Arrival`
- are the two definitions of the class `Arrival` type equivalent?
- if so, the receiving system can safely use the sending system's definition of `SouthwestArrival`

# String Equivalence is NOT Type Equivalence

- false negatives (intuitive) : implementation of methods
- false positives (counterintuitive) : dependency graph



# It's Kind of Like .h Files

to compare two classes for type equivalence:

- 1 strip out method definitions
- 2 define dependency relation
- 3 find transitive closure of dependencies
- 4 do steps 1-3 for both classes and compare the resulting sets

# The Typestamp: an Implementation Note

- Glib has class declarations like C++
- traverse graph of class declarations
- arrive at a set of strings
- order canonically and concatenate
- this is the typestamp of a class

# Conclusion

- The Story So Far
  - Full language definition
  - Masters thesis
  - Prototype implementation
- Where to Find Additional Information
  - <http://www.typestamp.com/glib/thesis.html>
  - [dlibicki@celequest.com](mailto:dlibicki@celequest.com)
  - OOPSLA 2006 Poster: Semantics of Persistence in the Glib Programming Language
  - OOPSLA 2006 Lightning Talk: “Simplicity is not Enough”